

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/070,266	07/19/2002	Natalie Bryant	FBRIC19.001APC	2913	
20995	7590 07/09/2004		EXAMI	NER	
	KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			ASHBURN, STEVEN L	
2040 MAIN : FOURTEEN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	92614		3714	7	
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/070,266	BRYANT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Steven Ashburn	3714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a incommunication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will be set or	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO stute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	5 February 2002.						
	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least term of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in <i>i</i> riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6.	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9-13, 15, 16, 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Feola, U.S. 6,149,156 (Nov. 21, 2000).

Feola discloses a card game allowing a player to choose a predetermined number of cards as chosen cards for each round he or she chooses to play, and reveals the card values for a portion of the chosen cards based on the rules. *See abstract*. It forms a hand for each of the chosen rounds from the card values of a portion of the chosen cards based on the rules and compares the hand to a set of winning hands for each round. *See id*. The particular features of the listed claims are discussed below.

Claim 1. Feola discloses a controller for controlling a plurality of positions for displaying a combination of symbols, a controller including a processor for processing data and displaying data on the display; and a selector operable by a player of the machine for enabling the player to select at least one feature which can be added to the base game played on the machine wherein an additional credit is staked by the player in order to be eligible for the feature. See fig. 7-10; col. 2:53-59; 3:18-20; 6:54-7:15; 8:50-55.

Claim 4. Feola discloses a plurality of selectors operable by the player to select at least one feature before making the base bet. *See col.* 8:50-55.

Art Unit: 3714

Claim 6. Feola discloses that each feature is triggered independently of the base game. See col. 1:61-67.

Claim 7. Feola discloses that the selector allows a player to tailor the game to the player's requirements. See col. 7:4-15.

Claim 9. Feola discloses a plurality of games wherein the selector enables the player to select on of the plurality of games as the base games. See col.4:11-27, 7:4-15.

Claim 10. Feola discloses a selector being a dedicated buttons on the keypad of the controller. See fig. 10; col. 8:50-55.

Claim 11. Feola discloses a selector being touch sensors on a touch screen display. See id.

Claim 12. Feola discloses a controller for controlling a plurality of positions for displaying a combination of symbols, a controller including a processor for processing data and displaying data on the display; and a selector operable by a player of the machine for enabling the player to select at least one feature which can be added to the base game played on the machine wherein an additional credit is staked by the player in order to be eligible for the feature being a fixed amount multiplied by a bet wagered per draw.. See fig. 7-10; col. 2:53-59; 3:18-20; 6:54-7:15; 8:50-55. Feola allows a player to wager a fixed amount for each additional game a player elects to play. The claim does not require that the fixed amount be predetermined. Nor does it require a number of draws in each game. Thus, Feola meets the limitations of the claim.

Art Unit: 3714

Claim 13. Feola discloses a plurality of selectors operable by the player to select at least one feature before making the base bet. See col. 8:50-55.

Claim 15. Feola discloses that each feature is triggered independently of the base game. See col. 1:61-67.

Claim 16. Feola discloses that the selector allows a player to select a range of features tailor the game to the player's requirements. See col. 7:4-15.

Claim 18. Feola discloses a plurality of games wherein the selector enables the player to select on of the plurality of games as the base game. See col.4:11-27, 7:4-15.

Claim 19. Feola discloses a selector being a dedicated buttons on the keypad of the controller. See fig. 10; col. 8:50-55.

Claim 20. Feola discloses a selector being touch sensors on a touch screen display. See id.

Claim 21. Feola discloses a controller for controlling a plurality of positions for displaying a combination of symbols, a controller including a processor for processing data and displaying data on the display; and a plurality of selectors operable by a player enabling the player, prior to making a base bet, for selecting a range of features to be added to a base game played on the machine to enable the player to tailor the game to the player's requirements. See fig. 7-10; col. 2:53-59; 3:18-20; 6:54-7:15; 8:50-55.

Art Unit: 3714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feola in view of Piechowiak, U.S. 5,807,172 (Sep. 15, 1998) and Guttaman et al., U.S. 5,354,069 (Oct. 11, 1994).

Claim 2. Feola does not disclose an additional credit being staked by the player being a multiple of the base bet wagered by the player. Regardless, as discussed below, this feature would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention in view of the prior art

Piechowiak discloses a slot machine in which a player must insert an additional coin to activate each payline and thereby receive additional opportunities to receive a winning outcome in the game. See fig. 7; col. 3:10-36. Likewise, Guttman discloses a lottery game in which in which a player must pay an additional amount for each entry thereby receive an additional opportunity to receive a winning outcome in the game. Thus is it well known in the art of gaming to require an additional credit being staked by the player being a multiple of the base bet wagered by the player.

In view of Piechowiak and Guttman, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Feola, wherein a places a stake to receive the opportunity to play an additional game feature, to add the feature of an additional credit being staked by the player being a multiple of the base bet wagered by the player. As is within the ordinary knowledge of an artisan, the features would allow an operator to receive additional revenue to cover payouts for each of a player's winning opportunities in a game.

Art Unit: 3714

Claim 3: Piechowiak discloses using different multiples of the base bet to purchase different features. *See fig. 7; col. 3:10-36.* For example, a different multiple is bet by players in games having different number of paylines. Notably, it is within the ordinary knowledge of an artisan to charge players patrons greater amounts of money for features having greater potential value. For example, an artisan might require a larger bet be placed by a player for games having a greater potential payoff due to a larger number of paylines (as in Piechowiak), a more generous payable or a bonus payout. Likewise, an artisan might require a larger bet be placed by a player for games that take longer to play or provide a secondary game feature.

Claims 5, 8, 14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feola in view of Carter, U.S. 6,582,305 B1 (Jun. 24, 2003) and Yoseloff, U.S. 6,312,334 B1 (Nov. 6, 2001).

Feola does not teach having no guarantee that a feature will eventuate. Regardless, as discussed below, this feature would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention in view of the prior art.

It is generally known in the gaming arts to require players to bet an additional amount to become eligible for a secondary game feature which may not eventuate. For example, Carter discloses a bingotype game in which players pay a surcharge to become eligible for a bonus game played in conjunction with a base game. See col.. 1:65-2:20. Similarly, Yoseloff discloses an analogous gaming machine in which the players may participate in multiple, independent game segments. See abstract. A player may not advance to the subsequent segment unless he satisfies a predetermined condition in the current segment. See fig. 1, col. 2:62-4:19. There is no guarantee that feature will eventuate. Thus, it is known in the art to for players to bet money for game features having no guarantee that the feature will eventuate.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,266 Page 7

Art Unit: 3714

In view of Carter and Yoseloff, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify Feola, wherein a player posts stakes for a plurality of game features in advance of the game, to add the feature of having no guarantee that a feature will eventuate. As is taught by Carter and Yoseloff, the modification would enhance the attraction of the gaming device by increasing players' anticipation of receiving an outcome required to qualify for the additional winning opportunity for which they have become eligible by his payment.

Claims 8 and 17: Yoseloff discloses certain features being "no-cost" features while other features require posting of a credit by the player. *See col.* 1:65-2:34. In particular, Yoseloff describes games having secondary games that occur at no cost to the player.

Prior Art, Not Relied On

The following prior art of record is not relied upon but is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

U.S. 4,856,787 discloses a gaming device enabling the player the option of participating in several different games.

U.S. 5,951,397 discloses a gaming device enabling the player the option of participating in several different games.

U.S. 5,664,999 discloses a gaming device enabling the player to participate in supplemental game different games.

U.S. 4,756,531 discloses a gaming device providing players with multiples ways to win.

Art Unit: 3714

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Ashburn whose telephone number is 703 305 3543. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Primary Examiner's Jessica Harrison can be reached on 703-308-2217. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

s.a.

MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 8